UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,

Petitioner,

v.

ALAMEDA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT,

Respondent.

Case No. 18-cv-04180-VC (PR)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Petitioner Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner who has filed a *pro se* petition for a writ of mandamus to compel the Alameda County Superior Court to prove jurisdiction about his underlying criminal case. Bonilla has been disqualified from proceeding *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) unless he is "under imminent danger of serious physical injury" at the time he filed his complaint. 28 U.S.C. 1915(g); *In re Steven Bonilla*, No. C 11-3180 CW (PR); *Bonilla v. Dawson*, No. C 13-0951 CW (PR).

The allegations in this complaint do not show that Bonilla was in imminent danger at the time of filing. Therefore, he may not proceed *in forma pauperis*. Furthermore, he may not proceed even if he pays the filing fee because this court lacks jurisdiction to issue a writ of mandamus. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1361. Section 1361 provides, "[t]he district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any action in the nature of mandamus to compel an officer or employee of the United States or any agency thereof to perform a duty owed to the plaintiff." This Court cannot issue an order to the Alameda Superior Court because it is not an officer, employee or agency of the United States.

Furthermore, the relief Plaintiff seeks pertains to his ongoing attempts to invalidate his

state criminal conviction. Therefore, such claims, if raised, must be brought by Bonilla's

counsel in his pending federal habeas corpus action, *Bonilla v. Ayers*, No. C 08-0471 YGR (PR).

Furthermore, this is not a case in which the undersigned judge's impartiality might be

reasonably questioned. See United States v. Holland, 519 F.3d 909, 912 (9th Cir. 2008) (absent

legitimate reason to recuse himself or herself, judge has a duty to sit in judgment in all cases

assigned to that judge).

Accordingly, this action is dismissed with prejudice because amendment would be futile.

The Clerk shall close the case. The Clerk shall return, without filing, any further documents

Bonilla submits after this case is closed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 25, 2018

VINCE CHHABRIA

United States District Judge

2